

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/281,760   | 03/30/1999      | ROBERT LAWTON        | 241/08                  | 7613            |
| 20306 7  | 7590 09/17/2003 |                      |                         |                 |
| MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF<br>300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE<br>SUITE 3200 |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|  |                 |                      | NOLAN, PATRICK J        |                 |
| CHICAGO, IL 60606  |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  |                 |                      | 1644                    |                 |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <b>\</b> . '   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/281,760  | LAWTON ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| , laticoly , loudin  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Patrick J. Nolan  | 1644   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which   | ation. A proper reply to a   |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or simplifying the   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>   | ng a corresponding number of fi   | nally rejected claims.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | ion(s):   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's response to the 112 1st rejection has been fully considered but is not found persuasive for reasons set forth in the Final rejection.

PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

9/15/03